IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

Writ Petition No.4342 of 2007
[Sau. Nanda D. Narad Vs. State Election Commr. Mumbai & ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. C.V. Kale, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs. B.H. Dangre, Addl. Govt. Pleader for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

CORAM : A.H. JOSHI AND
R.C.CHAVAN,JJ.

DATE : 26th September,2007.

1. This petition is tendered in Court.

2. Heard.

3. According to the petitioner, though the acknowledgment, copy whereof is placed on record at page 11, shows that the petitioner has not furnished the documents for verification of her caste claim, in fact, according to the petitioner, the said endorsement is wrong. She has, in fact, furnished the documents.

4. According to petitioner, this false

endorsement is made by the Returning Officer under the political pressure of the local MLA . The petition does not contain the name of the MLA, nor he has been arrayed as a respondent. Moreover, the Declaration Form furnished by the petitioner at page 11 also indicates a note that the acknowledgment from Caste Scrutiny Committee for having furnished the documents for caste scrutiny is not valid.

5. Disputed questions of facts are raised. Petitioner, therefore, fails to make out a case for interference.

6. Petition is, therefore, dismissed.

Judge **Judge**

|Hedau|